A GALERKIN BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD FOR HIGH FREQUENCY SCATTERING BY CONVEX POLYGONS

READING UNIVERSITY NUMERICAL ANALYSIS REPORT 7/06

N C-ANDL , LD *[†] AND LAN DON*[‡]

Abstract. n ppe, e con de, e po e of e on c co c c c e n n o d en on y con e po y on nd d o nd y o n e e en e od fo co c c c e n po e e co p on co c o e ne y e pec o effectency of e nc den e e e e pe en no e e n o nd y e en e od n ppo on p ce con n of e pod c of p ne e pece e po y o ppo, ed on ded e e e e e en co e co ne of e po y on e de on e o o o e e of de ee of feedo eq. ed o

4 N C-ANDL ?, LD AND LAN DON

sues, including conditioning and evaluation of the integrals that arise. We finish the paper with some concluding remarks and open problems. We note that the Galerkin method is, of course, not the only way to select a

then [41] there is also a well-defined normal derivative operator, the unique bounded linear operator $_{n}$: $H^{1}(G;)$ $H^{-1/2}(G)$ which satisfies

$$_{n}v = \frac{v}{n} := n \cdot v,$$

almost everywhere on , when v C (\overline{G}). $H^1_{loc}(G)$ denotes the set of measurable v : G \mathbb{C} for which v $H^1(G)$ for every compactly supported C (\overline{G}).

The polygonal domain is Lipschitz as is its exterior $D := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{}$. Let $_+$: $H^1(D) = H^{1/2}()$ and $_-: H^1() = H^{1/2}()$ denote the exterior and interior trace operators, respectively, and let $_n^+: H^1(D;) = H^{-1/2}()$ and $_n^-: H^1(;) = H^{-1/2}()$ denote the exterior and interior normal derivative operators, respectively, the unit normal vector n directed out of . Then the boundary value problem we seek to solve is the following: given k > 0 (the wave number) find $u = C^2(D) = H^1_{loc}(D)$ such that

(2.1)
$$u + k^2 u = 0$$
 in D,

(2.2)
$$_{+}u = 0$$
 on

and the scattered field, $u^s := u - u^i$, satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition

(2.3)
$$\lim_{r} r^{1/2} \left(\frac{u^s}{r}(\mathbf{x}) - iku^s(\mathbf{x}) \right) = 0,$$

where r = |x| and the limit holds uniformly in all directions x/|x|.

THEOREM 2.1. (see e.g. [41, theorem 9.11]). The boundary value problem (2.1)–(2.3) has exactly one solution.

Suppose that u $C^2(D) = H_{loc}^1(D)$ satisfies (2.1)–(2.3). Then, by standard elliptic regularity estimates [32, §8.11], u C $(\bar{D} \setminus _C)$, where $_C := \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ is the set of corners of . It is, moreover, possible to derive an explicit representation for u near the corners. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let $R_j := \min(L_{j-1}, L_j)$ (with $L_{-1} := L_N$). Let (r,) be polar coordinates local to a corner P_j , chosen so that r = 0 corresponds to the point P_j , the side $_{j-1}$ lies on the line = 0, the side $_j$ lies on the line $= _j$, and the part of \bar{D} within distance R_j of P_j is the set of points with polar coordinates {(r,) : 0 $r < R_j$, 0 $_j$ }. Choose R so that R R_j and := kR < /2, and let G denote the set of points with polar coordinates {(r,) : 0 $r < R, 0 _j$ } (see figure 2.2). The following result, in which J denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order , follows by standard separation of variables arguments.

 $\rm THEOREM~2.2~(representation near corners).$ Let g() denote the value of u at the point with polar coordinates (R,). Then, where (r,) denotes the polar coordinates of ${\bf x}_{\rm r}$ it holds that

(2.4)
$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n \mathbf{J}_{n\pi/j}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}) \sin\left(\frac{\mathbf{n}_j}{j}\right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G},$$

where

(2.5)
$$\mathbf{a}_n := \frac{2}{j \mathbf{J}_{n\pi/-j}(\mathbf{kR})} \int_0^{-j} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{k}) \sin\left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{j}\right) \mathbf{d}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

REMARK 2.3. The condition = kR < /2 ensures that $J_{n\pi/j}(kR) = 0$, n N, in fact (see (3.12)) that $|a_n J_{n\pi/j}(kr)| = C(r/R)^{-n}$, where the constant C is



 $\rm Fig.~2.2$ Neighbourhood of a corner.

independent of n and ${\bf x}$

8 N C-ANDL , LD AND LAN DON

to an exterior scattering problem for the Helmholtz equation dates back to Brakhage



N C-ANDL , LD AND LAN DON

where $\tilde{L}_0 := 0$ and, for j = 1, ..., n, $\tilde{L}_j := \sum_{m=1}^j L_m$ is the arc-length distance from P₁ to P_{j+1}. Define

(3.8) (s) :=
$$\frac{1}{k} - \frac{u}{n}(x(s))$$
, for s [0, L],

where $L := \tilde{L}_n$, so that (s) is the unknown function of arc-length whose behaviour we seek to determine. Let

$$\mathbf{(s)} := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{k} - \frac{u^i}{n} (\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})), & \text{if } \mathbf{s} \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n_s}, \mathbf{L}) \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } \mathbf{s} \quad (\mathbf{0}, \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n_s}), \end{cases}$$

so that (s) is the physical optics approximation to (s), and set $_j$ (s) := u(\tilde{x}_j (s)), s \mathbb{R} , where \tilde{x}_j (s) $_j^+$ $_j^-$ is the point

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j}(\mathbf{s}) := \mathbf{P}_{j} + \left(\mathbf{s} - \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{j-1}\right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}_{j+1} - \mathbf{P}_{j}}{\mathbf{L}_{j}}\right), \quad - < \mathbf{s} < \mathbf{s}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we have the explicit representation for on the side *j*, that

(3.9) (s) = (s) +
$$\frac{i}{2} [e^{iks} v_j^+(s) + e^{-iks} v_j^-(s)]$$
, s $[\tilde{L}_{j-1}, \tilde{L}_j]$, j = 1,..., n,

where

$$\mathbf{v}_{j}^{+}(\mathbf{s}) := \mathbf{k} \int_{-}^{\tilde{L}_{j-1}} \mu(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}|) e^{-\mathbf{i}kt} \,_{j}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{s} \quad [\tilde{L}_{j-1}, \tilde{L}_{j}], \quad \mathbf{j} = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{j}^{-}(\mathbf{s}) := \mathbf{k} \int_{\tilde{L}_{j}} \mu(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}|) e^{\mathbf{i}kt} \,_{j}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{s} \quad [\tilde{L}_{j-1}, \tilde{L}_{j}], \quad \mathbf{j} = 1, \dots, n.$$

The terms $e^{iks}v_j^+$ (s) and $e^{-iks}v_j^-$ (s) in (3.9) are the integrals over $\frac{+}{j}$ and $\frac{-}{j}$, respectively, in equation (3.5), and can be thought of as the contributions to u/n on $_j$ due to the di racted rays travelling from P_j to P_{j+1} and from P_{j+1} to P_j , respectively, including all multiply di racted ray components.

So the equation we wish to solve is (2.9), and we have the explicit representation (3.9) for its solution. At first glance this may not appear to help us, since the unknown solution u appears (as $_j$) on the right hand side of (3.9). However, (3.9) is extremely helpful in understanding how behaves since it explicitly separates out the oscillatory part of the solution. The functions v_j^{\pm} are not oscillatory away from the corners, as the following theorem quantifies. In this theorem and hereafter we let

$$\mathbf{u}_M := \sup_{\mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| < \mathbf{u}_M$$

and note that j = 1, ..., n.

THEOREM 3.2 (solution behaviour away from corners). For > 0, j = 1, ..., n, and m = 0, 1, ..., it holds for s $[\tilde{L}_{j-1}, \tilde{L}_j]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{v}_{j}^{+}{}^{(m)}(\mathbf{s})| & \text{2C m}!\mathbf{u}_{M}\mathbf{k}^{m}(\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{s}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{j-1}))^{-1/2-m}, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{s}-\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{j-1}) \\ |\mathbf{v}_{j}^{-}{}^{(m)}(\mathbf{s})| & \text{2C m}!\mathbf{u}_{M}\mathbf{k}^{m}(\mathbf{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{j}-\mathbf{s}))^{-1/2-m}, \quad \mathbf{k}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{j}-\mathbf{s}) \\ \end{aligned}$$

where C is given by (3.7).

confirming that the series (2.4) converges for 0 r < R. Further, the bound (3.14) justifies di erentiating (2.4) term by term to get that, for x = j-1 G, $-\frac{u}{n}(x) = kF(kr)$, where

(3.15)
$$F(z) := -\frac{1}{j^{z}} \sum_{n=1}^{j} na_{n} J_{n\pi/j}(z), \quad \text{Rez} > 0, \quad |z| < .$$

Since $|\cos z| = e^{|Imz|}$, $z = \mathbb{C}$, so that $|\cos zt| = e^{|Imz|}$ for $z = \mathbb{C}$, 0 = t = 1, we see from (3.13) that, for Rez > 0,

 $|\mathbf{n} \mathbf{a}_n \mathbf{J}_{n\pi/\mathbf{Z}}|$

with $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) := \sqrt{\mathbf{a}}$

and, using (4.6) and since N/(j - 1) = 2N/j,

(4.12)
$$\mathbf{f}^{(+1)}_{,(y_{j-1},y_j)} = \mathbf{c}_{+1}\mathbf{k}^- \mathbf{y}_{j-1}^{--1} = \mathbf{c}_{+1}\mathbf{k}^{+1} \left(\frac{2\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{j}}\right)^{q(++1)}$$

Combining (4.10)-(4.12) we see that, for $\mathbf{j} = 2, \dots, N$,

(4.13)
$$e_j = \frac{C c_{+1}^2}{kN^2 + 3}.$$

For $j = N + 1, ..., N_{A,q}$, recalling (4.4) and the choice of N , and then using (4.11),

$$\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{y}_{j-1} = \mathbf{y}_{j-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_{j} - \mathbf{y}_{j-1}}{\mathbf{y}_{j-1}} \right) \quad \mathbf{y}_{j-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_{N} - \mathbf{y}_{N-1}}{\mathbf{y}_{N-1}} \right) \quad \mathbf{y}_{j-1} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{N} - 1} \quad 2\mathbf{y}_{j-1} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Also, from (4.6),

$$f^{(+1)}$$
 , (y_{j-1},y_j) C $_{+1}k^{-1/2}y_{j-1}^{-3/2}$.

Using these bounds in (4.10), we see that the bound (4.13) holds also for $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N}_{A,q}$. Combining (4.8), (4.9), and (4.13),

$$f - P_N f_{2,(0,A)}^2 = \frac{C \tilde{c}^2 (N + N_{A,q})}{kN^{2+3}} = \frac{C \tilde{c}^2 (1 + \log(kA/c))}{kN^{2+3}},$$

using (4.5). Hence the result follows.

We assume through the remainder of the paper that c > 0 is chosen so that

(4.14)
$$kL_j \ c \ , \ j = 1, ..., n.$$

For j = 1, ..., n, tecalling (3.11), sume tN

We then have the following error estimate, in which u_M is as defined in (3.10) and we abbreviate $\cdot_{2,(0,L)}$ by \cdot_{2} . THEOREM 4.3.

for N N , where N and C $_{\rm s}$ are as defined in theorem 5.2. Note that we will take c = 1 and = k

6. Numerical results. There has been much work on the optimal choice of the parameter in (2.9) (see e.g. [3, 37]). Here we choose = k as in [28]. We also set c = 1 and restrict attention to the case = 0. For higher values of the implementation of the scheme is similar. Note that, with c = 1 and = 0, there are approximately N degrees of freedom used to represent the solution on the intervals

meshes $\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{1}{p}$, for some side p), it holds that $|(j, m)| = \sin(k_0)\sqrt{o/(k_1S_2)}$, where S₁ and S₂ are the lengths of the two-subintervals, o the length of the overlap.

As a numerical example, we consider the problem of scattering by a square with sides of length 2. In this case n = 4 and j = 3 /2, j = 1, 2, 3, 4. The corners of the square are $P_1 := (0, 0)$, $P_2 := (2, 0)$, $P_3 := (2, 2)$, $P_4 := (0, 2)$, and the incident angle is = /4, so the incident field is directed towards P_4 , with P_2 in shadow (as shown in figure 6.1, where the total acoustic field is plotted for k = 10).



 ${\rm Fig.}\ .1$ Total acoustic field, scattering by a square, top left corner towards the bottom right corner.

. Incident field is directed from the

In figure 6.2 we plot $|_N(s)|$ against s for k = 10 and N = 4, 16, 64, 256. As we expect, $|_N(s)|$ is highly peaked at the corners of the polygon, s = 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 (which is the same corner as s = 0), where (s) is infinite. Except at these corners, $|_N(s)|$ appears to be converging pointwise as N increases. (We do not plot N(s) itself which is highly oscillatory.)

In order to test the convergence of our scheme, we take the "exact" solution to be that computed with a large number of degrees of freedom, namely with N = 256. For k = 5 and k = 320 the relative L² errors N - 256 2/256 2 are shown in table 6.1 (all L² norms are computed by approximating by discrete L² norms, sampling at 100000 evenly spaced points around the boundary of the square). For this example, theorem 5.3 predicts that, for N N, $-N_2$ CN⁻¹, where C is a constant. Thus theorem 5.3 predicts that, for N > N, the average rate of convergence,

EOC :=
$$\frac{\log(-N_{N_{2}}/N_{N_{2}})}{\log(N/N_{2})} = 1 - \frac{\hat{C}}{\log(N/N_{2})} = 1$$

as N , where $\hat{C} := \log(-N_2/C)$. This behaviour is clearly seen in the EOC values (defined with N = 8) in table 6.1, /R298427]TJ /R717.97011Tf .89017(g)-5.89017(()3760T332(d)-59.9-1.22332)

analysis will have relevance for representing certain components of the total field. For example, in the case of 2D convex curvilinear polygons, something close to the mesh grading we use may be appropriate on each side of the polygon, especially at higher frequencies when the waves di racted by the corners become more localised near the corners. In the case of three-dimensional scattering by convex polyhedra it seems to us likely that the mesh we propose will be useful in representing the variation of edge scattered waves in the direction perpendicular to the edge.

Acknowledgement The authors gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with Markus Melenk (Vienna) and Johannes Elschner (WIAS, Berlin).

• NC

- T. ABBOUD J. C. NEDELEC AND B. ZHOU Méthodes des équations intégrales pour les hautes fréquences C? Ac d c M \checkmark pp M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. STEGUN Handbook of Mathematical Functions Do e,
- ${\rm S. \ Amini}$ On the choice of the coupling parameter in boundary integral formulations of the exterior acoustic problem App c e An y pp
- ✓ S. AMINI AND N. D. MAINES Preconditioned Krylov subspace methods for boundary element solution of the Helmholtz equation n e.n. N. e. Me. od n. ∠ pp
- S. AMINI AND A. T. J. PROFIT Multi-level fast multipole Galerkin method for the boundary integral solution of the exterior Helmholtz equation $n G_{r,s} en_{r,s} end n c en_{r,s} c co p_{r,s} n X n o of Conte p M A e, M oc o dence <math>r$ pp
- S. Arden S. N. Chandler Wilde and S. Langdon